

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/018,834	Applicant(s) WORRALL, ERIC EDWARD	
	Examiner Zachariah Lucas	Art Unit 1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Bret E. Field.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
3, 20, 25, 28, 29, 38, 39.

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the application would be allowable if the following amendments were made to the claims: Claim 20 should be amended to read on - - a coacervate- - rather than on "an absorption complex."

The duplicate claim 39 should be removed from the claim set.

Claims 3, 25, 28, 29, 38, and 39 should be amended to insert a comma between the last and next-to-last members of the lists in these claims.

It was agreed that the amendments would be made by examiner's amendment.